



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	4731	Name	DI	Clarke	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a		o the Draft Local Plan Consultation rately. Should you wish to review ingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
					king into account increased eighbourhood. Also more ho	traffic, not sufficient mes, more cars, no space for
2.	3 0		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?
3.	, ,	•	roposals for devel ce in Question 3:	opment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4731 Name D I Clarke





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	4.	Do you agree w	ith the proposed	shopping area	in
---	----	----------------	------------------	---------------	----

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I feel the area in Loughton Road will affect the shops in Debden Broadway also old people will have to go to a lot more trouble to get there. I don't see any advantage.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Nο

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

RE: Lucton field site, 390 homes which must mean flats, they would be as high as the Winston Churchill, which is an eyesore and not in context with the Broadway. No provision has been made for car parking. There will be a strain on local dentists, doctors, tube, etc. My daughter sometimes fails to get a seat on the tube at Debden

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4731 Name D I Clarke





and that is the start of the journey. They will be overlooking and I feel intruding on the homes in Colson Road and also cause a lot more traffic in surrounding streets. No I definitely do not agree.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4731 Name D I Clarke