



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1421	Name	Christopher	Bostock	
Method		Survey				
Dat	:e					
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and im	's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation nages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review ollicy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	I do not thin transport	nk there is end	ough infrastru	cture for more hous	e, no enough schools, hospitals, recreation,	
2.	Do you agree		rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets c	out for Epping Forest District?	
	0.0	ain your choice	in Question 2:			
		-			h new housing that is totally disregarding nature	
3.	Do you agre	e with the prop	oosals for deve	lopment around Harl	ow?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choice	in Question 3:			
	Epping does	s not have eno	ugh room for	all these proposals		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1421 Name Christopher Bostock





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
oping?				
0				
uckhurst Hill?				
o opinion				
oughton Broadway?				
o opinion				
hipping Ongar?				
o opinion				
oughton High Road?				
o opinion				
'altham Abbey?				
o opinion				
ease explain your choice in Question 4:				
oping is losing all its local trade due to parking etc and online shopping, would like to see more variety of hops				

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Cannot see this happeninhg

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Bostock

Stakeholder ID 1421 Name Christopher





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

As in previous answers I cannot see this working as there is too little infrastructure for this to work

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1421 Name Christopher Bostock





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I cannot see this happening

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
 - I think there are enough areas outside of Epping where they can build new homes, Epping cannot handle anymore everything is busting at the seams as it is, commuters driving from miles away parking outside houses all over Epping.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I do not agree with the proposal for Epping

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1421 Name Christopher Bostock