



Representation form for Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Regulation 19 publication)

This form should be used to make representations on the Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan which has been published. Please complete and return by 29 January 2018 at 5pm. An electronic version of the form is available at http://www.efdclocalplan.org/

An electronic version of the form is available at http://www.efdclocalplan.org/
Please refer to the guidance notes available before completing this form.
Please return any representations to: Planning Policy, Epping Forest District Council, Civic Offices, 323 High Street, Epping, Essex, CM16 4BZ
Or email them to: LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk
BY 5pm on 29 January 2018
This form has two parts — Part A — Personal Details Part B — Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make. Please attach any documents you wish to submit with your representation
Part A
1. Are you making this representation as? (Please tick as appropriate)
a) Resident or Member of the General Public or
b) Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council or
c) Landowner or
d) Agent
Other organisation (please specify)

December 2017

2. Personal Details		3. Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	MR	
First Name	HITING	
Last Name	OFER	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	· ·	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

PAGE: 114	4. To which part of the (Please specify where	he Submission Version of the I e appropriate)	Local Plan does this	s representation relate?
5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan: *Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms a) Is Legally compliant Yes No No If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail* Positively prepared Consistent with national policy Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	Paragraph		Policies Map	5.1
Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms a) Is Legally compliant Yes No No If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail Positively prepared Effective Justified Consistent with national policy C) Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	Site Reference EPP	. Q2 Settlement	EPPING	
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail* Positively prepared Effective Justified Consistent with national policy c) Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments				an:
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail* Positively prepared Effective Justified Consistent with national policy c) Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	a) Is Legally complian	t Yes	No [/
Positively prepared Justified Consistent with national policy C) Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	b) Sound	Yes	No [✓
Consistent with national policy Complies with the duty to co-operate No Omplies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	If no, then which	of the soundness test(s) does	it fail*	
c) Complies with the duty to co-operate 6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	Positively prepare	ed Effective		
6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	Justified	Consistent with nation	nal policy	
compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments	duty to co-operate			
PREASE RE ACCOMPANNING MOSED MARKED , A;	compliant, is unsour you wish to support	nd or fails to comply with the the legal compliance, soundn	duty to co-operate less of the Local Pla	. Please be as precise as possible. If
	PLEASE SEE	ACCOMPANUING N	oseo mara	CED '4!
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)		(5-1)		-1

29/01/2018

Representation to support Justin Dyer's submission

Part B -

6.

I consider the Draft Local Plan to be unsound because it is not sustainable and impacts on the local environment and residents.

I strongly object to proposals to release Green Belt for residential site allocation EPP.R2 (and Epp.R1) referred to under Policy P1 (Page 116) and policies Map 5.1 on the following grounds:

1. There will be an environmental impact on the local area.

The residential development is likely to have an effect on nearby protected areas. There is a risk of damage to local protected areas in particular nearby SSSI sites to the West of the proposed site and protected species.

In preparing the Draft Local Plan, I would question whether EFDC have taken account of the significant harm of removing Green Belt for housing. Furthermore, I have not been able to locate corresponding Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).

The local roads adjacent residential site allocation EPP.R2 will be seriously compromised. Ivy Chimneys Road and Brook Road to the North are often at saturation point during peak school and rush hour.

I question what highways assessments have been undertaken, consultation with Highways England amongst others and considerations, if any, have been given to traffic management.

Further, I question how EFDC plan to deal with heavy traffic and machinery to the local road network and the breakdown of the existing strata.

There will also be a significant noise impact on the local residents if traffic were increased as a consequence of the initial development and housing.

The proposal, and other residential site allocation, will overwhelm the already strained car parking facilities to the High Street.

3. The proposal will overwhelm the already strained local health facilities and have a significant impact on local schooling and childcare and class head count. The consequence of which would be increased waiting times and diluted attention between teacher/pupil, respectively.

==61/% 6	Healthcare demands are evidently already at capacity.
	The proposed scheme will remove the rurality of the location creating a level of urban sprawl seriously reducing the town's appeal and surrounding Green Belt.
Lastly, Enviror	I have found it difficult to obtain relevant information pertaining to the scheme such as mental Impact Assessments, Traffic Management and Construction Management.

	lease de as pro	ecise as possil	ne.	
	(Continue	on a separate sh	eet if necessary)	

9. If you wish to p	participate at the hear	rings, please outline wh	ny you consider th	nis to be necessary:	
		e most appropriate proce e oral part of the examina		ear those who have	
	know if you wish to be examination (Please t	e notified when the Epp ick)	oing Forest Distric	t Local Plan is submitt	ed
Yes	No No				
11. Have you atta	ached any documents	with this representation	on?		
Yes	No No				
Signature:	145		Date:		