



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2823	Name	guy julie	sharpe		
Method		Survey					
Dat	:e						
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and i	mages may not appear	uses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	, ,	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fores	st District?	
	Agree		in O				
	•	•	in Question 1:				
	Railway and	Roads need t	to be updated i	in EFDC before any	new houses built.	NOT ON GREEN BELT	
							_
2.	3		rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Fores	st District?	
	Strongly dis	· ·					
	•	•	in Question 2:				
	There is ple RELEASED.	nty of other I	and ie brown f	ield or unused cor	nmercial sites. NO 0	GREEN BELT SHOULD BE	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around Ha	rlow?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 3:				
	Any develop	oment around	Harlow would	be on green belt I	and.		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2823 Name guy julie sharpe





4.	Do you	agree	with	the	proposed	shopping	area	in

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Nazeing has already a traffic problem any development in this area will increase this problem. We already have a large volume of commercial vehicles travelling along old narrow (A) roads.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2823 Name guy julie sharpe





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

The area will not cope with these proposed sites. Due to the infrastructure of Nazeing at capacity already. Any new development would tip the balance we already have flooding, road closures are very common. no bus

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2823 Name guy julie sharpe





service through village and an over subscribed school. And proposed sites SR-0011, SR-0300 (A,B&C) and SR-0473 are grade 1-3 Green Belt Land.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

We need the infrastructure in place before any future development is considered in Nazeing. schools, transport, sewers. roads

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There is no need to build on green belt land when there is still brown field sites still available. Building on green belt will be a threat to local wild life already diminishing. pollution levels in Nazeing has increased this proposals would make it worse for the residents.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

It appears inadequate depth of research and investigation of proposed sites in Nazeing has been done to produce this local plan. the area of build is prime green belt and must be protected at all cost.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)