



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2786	Name	Nick	Gymer		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a		nses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation or accurately. Should you wish to review teppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respor	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
			tect Green Belt I SSSI's and AONB'		eks to develop right up	o to the Green Belt including	
2.	Do you agree		verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Fore	est District?	
	Please explain your choice in Question 2:						
	There is no justification for 360 new houses in Theydon Bois, it is not sustainable or in line with Government thinking on Green Belt. The infrastructure in the village cannot sustain this.						
3.	No opinion	•	oposals for deve	·	Harlow?		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2786 Name Nick Gymer





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	The plan will overstress transport links.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2786

Name Nick

Gymer





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Four of the areas are in Green Belt and are will increase the size of the village by about one quarter overloading all of the infrastructure in the village particularly roads, the school, Doctors surgery, drainage and water supply.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2786

Name Nick

Gymer





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plan does give specifics, it is too generalised.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
 - In respect of Theydon Bois the public transport is already at capacity with insufficient parking facilities for commuters not living in the village. Extra carparking spaces are needed.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?
 - Green Belt violation methodology is not explained or given sufficient detail. The "masterplan" seems to assume proposed dwellings, inhabitants will own considerably less cars than they will in practice.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2786 Name Nick Gymer