

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2175 Name Diana Barber

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No green belt boundary should be altered Chapter 5 5.97

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As I live in Buckhurst Hill can only comment on that area but as a whole I agree with the ethos but not all of the plans

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I have no idea about the sentiments of the residents for Harlow about the proposals

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2175

Name Diana

Barber

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Drat Policy Chapter 5 - SR 0225 new 44 homes and SR-0813 11 new homes. Social housing should be a priority and not expensive new homes especially if the new retail premises and flats to be demolished will impact on the residents who currently live there and will have no recourse to new dwellings.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I feel Buckhurst Hill has adequate retail premises already and any further will only impact of traffic in congestion pinch points as states previously

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

Chapter 5.97 I do not agree with green belt land being used the 92 Homes include 30 new homes for Powell Rd SR-0176 - as these are/will be right on top of the current Nature Reserve I think the number should be reduced - or at least a proportion should be allocated as social housing. Also B/H has very little or no space for further development - existing infrastructure seems inadequate especially schools and doctor surgeries. B/H is essentially a village and we should strive to keep it as such

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

6.8 states infrastructure..where necessary - this is worrying as who will decide this and when after all the houses have been built? Any infrastructure should be reconfigured before any new houses are built

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?