

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2598 Name Christopher Bartlett

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The vision is inconsistent with the protecting the Green Belt and environment and unclear on the implementation of the planned infrastructure changes.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The inclusion of the release of Green Belt and the planned disproportionate allocation of housing in Theydon Bois is not consistent with sustainable development.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Towns with the ability to accommodate larger housing numbers is considered more appropriate, seeing as the larger towns have the infrastructure in place. However any development of green belt land is not welcomed and not consistent with sustainable development.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2598

Name Christopher

Bartlett

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It is not justified in the terms of sustainable development for employment development to encroach on Green belt land.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

To compromise the Green belt site in Theydon Bois would undermine the rural and countryside character of the village. The details of infrastructure changes necessary to accommodate such a large number of additional homes would be detrimental to us as residents and the environment. There would be increased traffic through

and around the village increasing the negative environmental impact and continually decreasing the rural character of the village.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The details of the infrastructure changes required are not specific and therefore not adequate to outline the impact. The impact of the needed infrastructure changes to accommodate so many additional houses would be detrimental to us as residents and the environment of the Theydon Bois village.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

The inclusion of green belt land is not consistent with the vision of sustainable development. Lack of housing in a district is not justified for additional development on green belt land. In respect of Theydon Bois, the infrastructure plans are not specific and therefore the impact can not be adequately assessed. The disproportionate level of planned additional housing on the sites in Theydon Bois will have a detrimental impact forever on the rural and countryside nature of the village. Us as residents will be adversely affected by years of construction, ever increasing pressure on the congestion of the roads in and around the village. In addition the Tube station is already overcrowded and can not accommodate additional people commuting. There would need to be additional building for new schools, surgeries and community infrastructure that would increase the amount of construction, add to the congestion and negatively impact the local and rural character of the village. The residents quality of life and environment will be negatively affected.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

