



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1645	Name	Paul	Buckley	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the nd images may not appear accuratelyng Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfo	y. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District	?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	Epping Fore	st" yet at th			nsure an enhanced quality of lifelt status and advocating building	
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District	?
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	Areas to the	e north such	as Harlow are r	ipe for develop	ment.	
2	Da				Harlan 2	
3.	, ,	e with the pi	roposals for deve	iopment around	Harlow?	
	Agree	امطم سيمير ساي	oo in Overtion 2.			
	•	•	ce in Question 3:			
	That would	be logical.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1645 Name Paul Buckley





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in

No opinion

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I think protecting business areas from development into residential is very important. We have already lost enough commercial sites to blocks of flats.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1645 Name Paul Buckley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I moved here some 20 years ago because i lied the village atmosphere yet with good transport links to London. It would in my view, be a tragedy to chip away at Green belt land to build 30 dwellings on the site of 1 Powell Road. This is next to Linders Field, a site of natural interest. I don't think Roebuck Lane or Palmerstone Road

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





(at certain times of day) can reasonably sustain the growth in traffic that would be bound to follow such development. In other words, the quality of life of existing residents will be diminished.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1645 Name Paul Buckley