



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1595	Name	Paul	Wershof		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation curately. Should you wish to review ppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The infrasti	ructure in Lo	oughton cannot s	support the add	litional housing and popul	ation increase	
2.	•	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest D	District?	
	Disagree						
	•	•	ce in Question 2:				
	See previou	is answer					
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	No opinion						
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1595 Name Paul Wershof





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	The sheer volume of traffic is already overwhelming.					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

More business = more people = more traffic.

Stakeholder ID 1595





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The new housing will impact the traffic around Alderton Hill / Roding Valley High School which cannot cope at present and will become worse

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1595 Name Paul Wershof





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Town cannot cope with the expansion

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1595 Name Paul Wershof