



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4659	Name	Bill	Hagger	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting		s to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ccurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest	District?
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest	District?
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	l Harlow?	
	•	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4659 Name Bill Hagger





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	(blank)					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	(blank)					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	(blank)					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	(blank)					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	(blank)					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I understand people need homes, but I cannot believe that there are proposals to build on the most central, and therefore most cherished and well used areas of open space in Debden. Rochford and Jessel Green if this is all about finding homes for desperate people then why not choose outer areas like the playing field next to Davenant school in Willingal Road, the playing field on the edge of Newmans lane and Rectory Lane, other empty spaces by the side of Rectory lane and the space between Clays Lane in Englands Lane? These are on he edges of our home and would cause less disruption, deprivation and hardship. Or is it not about finding desperately need homes, and more about where property developers would be able to make the most profit? I also understand that no one in the local area, including people in Epping, Chigwell, Theydon Bois and

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4659 Name Bill Hagger





Loughton proper want to lose their open spaces. But surely people in those areas would find some consolation in having a garden big enough for an evening stroll or team games unlike those of us with gardens in Debden?

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

### (blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Hagger

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4659 Name Bill





1.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?				
	Disagree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:				
	How can council vote to remove bus *illegible* (and thereby threaten the existence of the vital 167 bus?) and say it is supporting future growth in transport?				
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any				
	comments you may have on this.				
9.	Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?				
	P2 Loughton (Debden)				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Hagger

Stakeholder ID 4659 Name Bill