

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3173	Name	Susan	Usher
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

## Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The number of proposed new houses in Sheering equals an increase of almost 30%. This will have a negative impact on the village which does not have the infrastructure to support such an increase

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Same reason as above. There are brownfield sites which should be used

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The road infrastructure will not handle the traffic increase. Along with the proposed new M11 junction the area will become grid locked and traffic dangerous to pedestrians and cyclists. Plus increase in pollution near school is not acceptable

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? Yes Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: ...Redacted....

 Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12) No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

#### Stakeholder ID 3173

Name Susan





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Please refer to reasons already provided

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

# Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)