



1

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Stakeho | lder ID | 1385 | Name | graham | keys | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Method | | Survey | | | | | |
| Date | | | | | | | |
| | | elements of th | ne full response suc | h as formatting and | cil's database of responses to the Draft Loca images may not appear accurately. Should y Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov | ou wish to review | |
| Survey | Respor | nse: | | | | | |
| 1. Do y | Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? | | | | | | |
| Agre | ee | | | | | | |
| Plea | Please explain your choice in Question 1: | | | | | | |
| viev arri in li | v from n ving eve ne for n | ny house doe ery year they nore housing | es not matter mo y have to go som y than other area | uch of course and ewhere. What I | ew and It will overwhelm the village, he I appreciate that with over 300,000 in a strongly object to Is that North Weal towns like Epping. This is very unfair rdsRedacted | mmigrants d appears to be | |
| Stro | ngly dis | agree | verall vision that ce in Question 2: | the Draft Plan se | out for Epping Forest District? | | |
| No o | opinion use expla | in your choic | ce in Question 3: | lopment around H | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Name graham keys

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1385





| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in |
|----|---|
| | Epping? |
| | No opinion |
| | Buckhurst Hill? |
| | No opinion |
| | Loughton Broadway? |
| | No opinion |
| | Chipping Ongar? |
| | No opinion |
| | Loughton High Road? |
| | No opinion |
| | Waltham Abbey? |
| | No opinion |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 4: |
| | |
| 5. | Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? |
| | Agree |
| | Please explain your choice in Question 5: |
| | the proposals sound sensible |
| | |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1385

Name graham

keys





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Dear Sirs. If this plan goes ahead in total I will lose my view and It will overwhelm the village, however the view from my house does not matter much of course and I appreciate that with over 300,000 immigrants arriving every year they have to go somewhere. What I do strongly object to Is that North Weald appears to be in line for more housing than other areas including larger towns like Epping. This is very unfair. Why does the effect on NW matter less than other villages/towns? RegardsRedacted...





Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

have not studied this

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

No Comment

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1385