



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	1415	Name	Tony	Apperley		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of the	e full response su	ch as formatting ai		the Draft Local Plan Consultation rately. Should you wish to review ingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	There is far residents m	too much er oved out of (mphasis on hous Central London	se building on gi	een belt land which is a m	ajor reason I and many other	
2.	Do you agree		erall vision that	the Draft Plan so	ets out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?	
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:				
		The amount oughton for its		spaces defines	Loughton and its potential	loss undermines the whole	
3.	No opinion	·	oposals for deve	elopment around	Harlow?		
	riease expia	iiii your choic	e iii Questioii 3.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1415 Name Tony Apperley





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	Yes						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1415





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1415 Name Tony Apperley





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1415 Name Tony Apperley