

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1375 Name Mr & Mrs P Lambert

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Green belt land should not be built on. Community facilities are not sufficient for current population so adding extra housing would make things impossible. The very few places left for wildlife in the *illegible* being constantly pressurised by development. This plan makes this much worse.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is a large town already without all of the problems that entail. Recent experience has shown that development usually consisted of many houses without additional facilities or infrastructure. Epping station car park is used on a daily basis and is an essential way in to work for thousands of residents of the area. Turning this into housing is madness.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1375

Name Mr & Mrs P

Lambert

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Maybe in all cases your question is bad in that it *illegible* response to all your plans. St Johns development - please clarify important road does not get too congested not houses please.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Places for employment in Epping are few and far in between as high business rates have driven out many companies over the years out the area.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Epping sport centre is important. Epping station car park is essential for people to get to their employment. It is full by 7am . *illegible* The cotts lane car park is essential to allow shoppers to come to town. Other underground station car parking are all essential if employment is to be maintained in the area.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Loughton library - please protect from housing.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is far better not to build in the first place a resources will take time to catch up with development if at all or ever. Section 6.15 already highlights how stretched services are - why should ten plus dwellings be allowed anyway?

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

As current resources are stretched to the limit. It is clear further development would not be sustainable.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Please rethink this plan and the impact it will have on our community and wildlife.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)