



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2626	Name	Nicola	Ward			
Me	thod	Survey						
Dat	te		_					
		elements of the full	response such	as formatting ar	nd images may not app	ponses to the Draft Local Pla pear accurately. Should you v sult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Sui	rvey Respoi	nse:						
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?							
	Strongly disagree							
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	It's not in the		of the local c	ommunity. Wh	nat is proposed to h	nandle all the extra traffi	ic from all	
2.	Do you agree	e with the overall	vision that th	ne Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping Fo	orest District?		
	Please expla	nin your choice in	Question 2:					
	No Green belt land should be used for any new development, especially while there is plenty of pre used land around the area. It would be better all round to redevelop run down areas in Harlow instead.							
3.	Do you agree	e with the proposa	als for develo	pment around	Harlow?			

Harlow is massive already. Putting more houses on the outskirts would make it even bigger. As I said,

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

redeveloping inside Harlow, where it's needed, is the way to go.

Stakeholder ID 2626 Name Nicola Ward

Please explain your choice in Question 3:





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
	Epping?				
	No opinion				
	Buckhurst Hill?				
	No opinion				

Loughton Broadway? **No opinion** Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

No I do not agree to put even more traffic, especially lorries, on the roads in and around Nazeing. It is crazy to think our roads can accommodate MORE traffic when we have daily gridlock TODAY, even on Sundays!

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2626 Name Nicola Ward





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

This is GREEN BELT land! If we mean what we say when we talk about a nice life for our children in the future our local authority and the government need to stand on what they pass as law! It's hypocritical to even propose this.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2626 Name Nicola Ward





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

All the roads and drainage around this area are totally inadequate for the amount of people using them. If developers want to build new houses Epping Forest DC need to hold them to account before they lay even one brick! I Redacted......

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I would make the points again that we cannot build on Green Belt land. If we do, we lose it forever. We have already lost too much.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

My mum wanted to respond to this questionnaire but there was only an online option. My mum is 78 and is not au fait with the internet. If she'd had a letter she would definitely have answered the questionnaire. This is a really bad precedent to be setting. It's as if the council have already written off the elderly community and it sets an unbelievably bad example to young people about how the aged can be treated. Utterly shameful. This consultation should be redone from scratch, with people who show respect to their community and environment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2626 Name Nicola Ward