



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2058	Name	Ronald	Thomas		
Method		Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of the	e full response suc	ch as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plant images may not appear accurately. Should you Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree Disagree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	I think this	will put more	e pressure on lo	cal services . E.ç	. Roads drainage and health services.		
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:				
	New housin	g is needed b	out In Ongar roa	ds and drains n	ed improvement first.		
3.	, ,	e with the pro	oposals for deve	lopment around I	arlow?		
	Agree						
	•	,	e in Question 3:				
	Harlow has	better roads	etc.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2058 Name Ronald Thomas





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	We need more local jobs for local people.						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2058

Name Ronald

Thomas





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Some have bad road access and drainage problems

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2058 Name Ronald Thomas





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Will this be in place before other work starts?

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2058 Name Ronald Thomas