



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3034	Name	Jennifer	lovegrove				
Method	Survey							
Date								
	elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consult images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to re Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk				
Survey Respo	onse:							
	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?							
Strongly di	Strongly disagree							
Please exp	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
I do not se	e how this pla	in helps anyone	living or trying to	drive through the VILLAGE				
2. Do you agre	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?							
Disagree								
Please expl	Please explain your choice in Question 2:							
	Please leave our small village, to remain that, we NEED the Green Belt, why do wish to destroy it. Using the Green Belt means the whole destruction FOREVER!!							
3 0	•	oposals for devel	opment around Ha	rlow?				
Strongly di	_							
•	Please explain your choice in Question 3:							
				ter to use land directly in Harlow as they have the and small country lanes	е			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3034 Name Jennifer lovegrove





4.	Do you agree with t	the proposed	shopping are	ea in
т.	Do you agree with t	ine proposed	shopping are	Ju 111

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Has anyone from the Council tried to drive down Hoe Lane in Nazeing? It is a narrow village lane, already being 'destroyed' by massive heavy lorries driving down there all day, (also passing a children's play area)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3034

Name Jennifer

lovegrove





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

IT IS GREEN BELT!!! Our road frequently floods (....Redacted....the Council only has to look at it's own records to see how often they have to come and sort out this problem! (Effluent escaping from damaged sewer pipes The roads are too narrow and winding for large HGV's We have very poor public transport.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3034

Name Jennifer

lovegrove





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

NO, I feel as though small villages get ignored and go to the bottom of the list of priorities, so why make life more difficult for the residents. Apparently the ARUP assessment is showing that we do not have congestion on our small village roads, well I don't know when they made their assessment, but, sometimes the traffic backs up to Broxbourne and up to our house, 3/4 of a mile from the traffic lights!!!

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Use Brown sites NOT (supposedly) protected GREEN BELT, HOW CAN YOU WISH TO DESTROY THE WHOLE PREMISE OF LIVING IN THE COUNTRY?

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

PLEASE, renew efforts to use brown sites, TRULY, can anyone want to destroy our countryside, we moved here 35 years ago to get away from the pollution/noise of town living, a very sad future for England's green and pleasant land??

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3034 Name Jennifer lovegrove