Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Nigel	
Last Name	Main	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where		
relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: Ongar 5.60 to 5.71 Policy: P 4 Ongar Policies Map: Yes Site Reference: ONG.R1 Settlement: Ongar

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know Sound: No If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective,Justified Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

I have identified ONG R1 (this form is very restrictive so the above is my approximation given the options offered and my not be quite right) but in principle there is too much development for the size of the town with only a infrequent bus service as public transport. In the vision reference is made to "sustainable modes of travel to reduce congestion and reliance on car based transport. There is no detailed plan of how this will be achieved or let alone if it is viable. With very little employment in Ongar it is inevitable that all this new housing will generate substantial traffic journeys impacting the environment and air quality. The plan only considers the impact in EFDC, the level of development is likely to generate in the order of 700 to 800 daily car journeys. Probably 30% towards Harlow and 30% Chelmsford, but most importantly 30% through Brentwood and Wilsons corner intersection. I travel this route daily and during term time it take 30 minutes to cover the mile or so from crossing the A12 to Wilsons corner. Much of this distance is lined by residential housing and school children walking to the various schools. The Ongar development will add 100s of car journeys to this making an already serious position even worse. The level of pollution residents and Children will be exposed to is unacceptable and must be taken into account even if it is not within EFDC district. This local plan should consider the impact on neighbouring districts and will cause unacceptable levels of traffic and pollution in Brentwood.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reduce the level of housing within Ongar and instead concentrate development of location with good transport likes, particularly to London. Chelmsford or any of the main line stations or where there are good tub links with sufficient capacity. In this way the Local plan would become sound and coherent addressing the environmental shortfalls that it currently contains

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Because the plan has ignored the transport issues so the change needs to be significant.

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes Signature: Nigel Main Date: 29/01/2018