



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stal	keholder ID	2197	Name	Philip	Taylor	Retired	
Method		Survey					
Dat	e						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting an	d images may not ap	esponses to the Draft Local I opear accurately. Should yo nsult@eppingforestdc.gov.u	u wish to review
Sur	vey Respo	nse:					
	Do you agre Agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping I	Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:				
	Plan seems	to address n	nost issues but in	nfra structure in	nprovements are	key to any increases in	oopulation.
2.	Strongly ag	ree		the Draft Plan se	ets out for Epping I	Forest District?	
	•	•	ce in Question 2:				
	Logical app	roach.					
3.	Do you agre Agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				
	Again, infra	structure ir	mprovements are	e key to any pro	posal. A414 need	l major improvement.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you	agree with	the pr	roposed	shopping	area	in

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Infra structure improvements are essential Bus services are very infrequent Chelmsford and Brentwood. No rail link, Cycling is dangerous on A414 & A128. There are no cycle routes in the area. Mobile phone access is often zero, texts arriving 4 hours after sent.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Local employment is essential otherwise transport will be even more stretched.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

I have written No here because to focus your attention on what I have to say. If you fulfil your commitment to improving public transport in the area (a dramatic improvement is required); improve the A414 and A128, providing cycle paths; improve the 4-Wantz junction, then I would say yes but there is a lack of belief that this will happen. Referring specifically to expansion zones SR- 184/185 /186. Access to the A414 needs to be dramatically improved, it is currently dangerous so with 10 times the traffic it will be an accident black spot.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

All are key to Ongar where transport has been much neglected.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)