

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2647 Name Christine Buckley

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The overall plan does not cover the pressure that will be put on to the town, the infrastructure, environment and the local town community

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2647

Name Christine

Buckley

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Building will result in traffic congestion through the town and local shops will be affected. On Tuesday 6th December travelling from top of Crows Road to St. Margaret's lights took 17 minutes @ 9am in the morning. Building on car parks at Bakers Lane etc. even keeping current parking needs to be dramatically increased if the shops needs to maintain a high level of visitors.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Additional housing throughout the town will put pressure on the roads, parking and commuter routes into London. Epping station cutting one train in the morning rush hour at 7am you are likely not to get a seat, by increasing with over 1600 new homes will increase the commuters. On 7th December @ 8:30 it took over 15 minutes from the top of Crows Road to the Bell lights and some mornings over 30 minutes to the Wakes Arms Roundabout. This is when the M25 and M11 are running okay, as soon as there is an issue on the motorways Epping is at a standstill. I also completely disagree with the removal of the sports centre, this is based on : The facility is used by many who walk to the centre and may not have cars Classes are already oversubscribed on a weekly basis, Provides a safe environment for children under the age of 18 to participate in activities without the need to travel. Increase of housing will impact on traffic to any new of existing facilities outside of Epping. When I moved to Epping **Redacted** ... the major attraction was that it was a Market Town and that all the facilities I needed was within walking distance. As the population of the town will increase and

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

expectation to increase the age profile we need a successful and vibrant facility to support a local ethos for promoting the benefits of a healthy and balanced lifestyles, meaning that every child, adult in the community is gaining the opportunity to a better quality and healthy life. By removing the sport centre this will have a huge impact on community

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Limes Medical Centre is over run and cannot get a doctors appointment some-times weeks. On 27th May I saw a consultant at the hospital and needed the Doctor to refer the first appointment I was offered was 21st June. This is already completely unacceptable and with 1600 new homes how many people will require doctors support, along with children schooling. With the traffic thought the town cars will start to take as they do today the back route past the junior school which may impact on childrens safety

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?