

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

| Personal Details | | Agent's Details (if applicable) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Title | Mr | |
| First Name | Peter | |
| Last Name | Bloxham | |
| Job Title (where relevant) | Architect | |
| Organisation (where relevant) | Blox Architects | |
| Address | 19 Crows Road, Epping | , , |
| Post Code | | |
| Telephone Number | 01992610819 | |
| E-mail Address | peter@bloxarchitects.co.uk | |

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Epping

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Failure to address local need, exists only to justify government homes targets. Sites are not achievable. No consideration on infrastructure, transport, or impact on schools. No proposals to address additional residents in terms of parking, access to station, park and ride. No proposal for new schools which will be require following buildings. Failure to address evening economy, cinema, swimming pool etc have all been on residents wish list. Loss of library and sports centres in heart of epping not in line with representations made before by residents and Epping Society.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Failure to address local need, exists only to justify government homes targets. Sites are not achievable. No consideration on infrastructure, transport, or impact on schools. No proposals to address additional residents in terms of parking, access to station, park and ride. No proposal for new schools which will be require following buildings. Failure to address evening economy, cinema, swimming pool etc have all been on residents wish list. Loss of library and sports centres in heart of epping not in line with representations made before by residents and Epping Society.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Peter Bloxham Date: 23/01/2018