

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2605 Name julie Topcu

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The creation of new housing in the district will cause more pressure on already stretched local resources.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is a high concentration of new housing around Debden, which will eat up the green spaces, and create huge pressure on already congested roads in the area. There will be no open spaces for children to play, for people to socialise and network outside.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I do not live in the Harlow area

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2605

Name julie

Topcu

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I live in the Debden/Loughton district. At present it is difficult to drive around the area to access shops, due to road congestion. Even with road widening there will still be traffic converging onto single lane roads, which will result in a bottle neck. Traffic will be at a stand still. If parking areas like Loughton library car park, are used for new housing, there will be more struggles to find places to park to use local shops. In Debden, there have been empty properties for months, even years, where potential vendors cannot afford the rates to open shops. To create more buildings that vendors can't afford to rent, seems ridiculous.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The sites are chiefly focussed on the Debden estate. The infrastructure - roads, schools, GP surgeries, are struggling to cope with the existing population, let alone introducing more people to the area. The sites chosen will take away all the green, open spaces, that allow people to spend time out of doors. No account has been taken with regard to effects on wild life; loss of trees and greenery that absorb water, and reduce

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

risk of flooding. With the knowledge of green house gases, we should be protecting our natural environments, not destroying them, or covering them in concrete.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No provision will be sufficient for already stretched resources with an influx of new residents,

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?