



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1433	Name	Gavin	Chambers	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Pland images may not appear accurately. Should you wig Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	,		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
		needs to be kt door to th		e parts rather th	an ruins the community's we have now by b	uilding high
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Strongly agi	ree	oposals for deve	opment around	Harlow?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1433 Name Gavin Chambers





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1433 Name Gavin Chambers





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

To many for such a small town

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Green spaces good idea

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I agree with them all except the development of the lower queens road car park . I support the Powell road development .

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

They could have had more development here to ease the burden to others.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1433

Name Gavin

Chambers





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

We need to identify where the school will go! This is not clear and seems rushed to me.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Υ

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1433 Name Gavin Chambers