



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

| Sta | keholder ID                               | 2102           | Name                 | Victoria            | Banks  |                          |  |
|-----|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| Me  | thod                                      | Survey         |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
| Dat | te  |                |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
|     |   | elements of th | ne full response suc | h as formatting and | il's database of responses to the Draf<br>mages may not appear accurately. Sl<br>Policy team: <u>Idfconsult@eppingforest</u> | hould you wish to review |  |
| Su  | rvey Respo                                | nse:           |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
| 1.  | Do you agre                               | e with the ov  | verall vision that   | the Draft Plan set  | out for Epping Forest District?  |                          |  |
|     | Strongly dis                              | agree          |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | Please explain your choice in Question 1: |                |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | Land off En                               | gland's lane   | could be used fo     | r development.      | ot included in local plan. Hi  |                          |  |
| 2.  | , ,                                       |                | verall vision that   | the Draft Plan set  | out for Epping Forest District?  |                          |  |
|     | Strongly dis                              | -              |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | •   | ain your choi  | ce in Question 2:    |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | see above                                 |                |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
| 3.  | Do you agre                               | e with the pı  | oposals for devel    | opment around H     | rlow?  |                          |  |
|     | Strongly dis                              | agree          |                      |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | Please expla                              | ain your choi  | ce in Question 3:    |                     |  |                          |  |
|     | _   |                |                      |                     |  |                          |  |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2102 Name Victoria Banks





| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|    | Epping?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Buckhurst Hill?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Loughton Broadway?  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Chipping Ongar?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Loughton High Road?   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Waltham Abbey?  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Please explain your choice in Question 4:                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Strongly disagree   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Please explain your choice in Question 5:                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | ···   |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2102

Name Victoria

Banks





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Banks

### No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2102 Name Victoria





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2102 Name Victoria Banks