



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholde	r ID 2485	Name	Martin	Hutchins		
Method	Survey					
Date						
	Some elements of t	he full response suc	ch as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the Dra limages may not appear accurately. S Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfores	Should you wish to review	
Survey Re	esponse:					
1. Do you	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
Strong	Strongly disagree					
Please	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
Whilst base	Whilst there is always a need for new housing this demand shouldn't damage or harm an existing community base					
•	•	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?		
_	ly disagree					
Please	explain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
		er established gr oressure on local		are enjoyed by the community. Menities.	laking housing denser	

Harlow was developed as a New Town with capacity to expand further. Existing green areas within Harlow

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Stakeholder ID 2485 Name Martin Hutchins

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

must be retained for the local community.

Agree





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
_	
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2485

Name Martin

Hutchins





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

This proposal will lead to an over development of these areas. This would lead to a loss of open space that is used by local residents. Building over this open space will increase the pressure on local services, local amenities, create more traffic and negatively affect the well-being of the area.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2485 Name Martin Hutchins





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Existing services are already stretched and with cuts to NHS, Fire Brigade and Police budgets how can these services be maintained with an increase of the local population. School places are already under pressure from people outside the local community.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2485 Name Martin Hutchins