

Stakeholder Reference: 19RES0594

Document Reference:

## Part A

### Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs
First Name	Nicola
Last Name	Harding
Job Title (where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address	, ,
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address	

## Part B

### REPRESENTATION

**To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph:

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map:

Site Reference: LOU.R2

Settlement:

**Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

**Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**

**Please use this box to set out your comments.**

Any positively prepared plan would have accurately assessed the existing use of the proposed site. Reference to the site at Debden Underground Station as "this is a car park" indicates little to no knowledge of the location or collaboration. Had the author of the plan fulfilled their statutory obligation he would have discovered,

This failure to accurately assess the site, or intentionally ignore my existence, shows a distinct lack of preparation, due diligence and total disregard for the National Planning Policy Framework guidelines for Plan- Making, paragraph 155, which clearly states ..." Early and meaningful engagement and collaboration with neighbourhoods, local organisations and business is essential". I have had no contact, collaboration or discussions regarding the proposed plans or the possibility of the proposed development.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

To make the plan sound by changing the boundaries

**If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

**If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

**Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination**

Yes

Signature: Nicola Harding Date: 28/01/2018