



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

396 Nam	e Isabelle	Mitchell
urvey		
ements of the full respo	onse such as formatting	Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review ning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>
e:		
with the overall visio	n that the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest District?
ree		
your choice in Ques	tion 1:	
be persevered. The	village is struggling t	se and the farmers fields behind Queens Road. These to up port the traffic currently and I do not feel this ill only make things busier, more untidy and gridlock in
		sets out for Epping Forest District?
	·	d Harlow?
with	persevered. The ing will be positive here overall vision our choice in Quest here proposals for the pr	persevered. The village is struggling

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1396 Name Isabelle Mitchell





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in			
	Epping?			
	No opinion			
	Buckhurst Hill?			
	No opinion			
	Loughton Broadway?			
	No opinion			
	Chipping Ongar?			
	No opinion			
	Loughton High Road?			
	No opinion			
	Waltham Abbey?			
	No opinion			
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:			
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?			
	Disagree			
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:			
	As per comments to q1			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1396

Name Isabelle

Mitchell





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

#### As per q1 response

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1396 Name Isabelle Mitchell





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SR-0036 / GRT\_N\_06

I discovered agree with providing a traveller site full stop but I'm 100% against the current proposal to be near the local park where children like to enjoy playing. This area needs to feel safe and maintained family friendly, ....Redacted...

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)