

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4727 Name Tony Farr

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The vision is to protect the Green Belt but the Draft Local Plan will result in the loss of the clear and definable boundaries of the Green Belt.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The incursions into the Green Belt will be seen as an open door to further incursions. They do not justify another 360 houses in Theydon Bois. Where will the additional school space be allocated for the children in these 360 homes?

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Any encroachment into Green Belt land is not welcome.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4727

Name Tony

Farr

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Do not see how these developments for employment are sustainable on Green Belt land!

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

All four sites are in Green Belt and will suffer greatly if allocated to housing. The rural character of Theydon Bois will be ruined. I will also add that we have many visitors in Summer who at present come to our

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Green/Pond area because of the setting in a small village. The addition of another 25% of housing (and traffic?) will ruin their enjoyment.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No what are the specific requirements for infrastructure? When will they be provided? Buses?

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Interim sustainability is already needed for the existing community let alone another 360 homes. What plans are in place to gain better access to the station? The trains are already overcrowded at peak times and it seems improbably that additional trains can be provided during these times. All clear and defensible Green Belt boundaries must be maintained.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

6

Parking in the village is already a major problem, especially in Forest Drive - shopping area. Increasing the housing by 23% is not suitable planning and should certainly not be on Green Belt land.
