



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4675	Name	Р	Shukla					
Method		Survey								
Dat	:e									
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formattin		es to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk				
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:								
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?									
	Disagree									
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 1:							
			eating new home open space for			right in the plan - it is too				
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Pla	n sets out for Epping Forest	: District?				
	Disagree									
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 2:							
					n, Rochford etc. will lead t ies. Why can't there be a	to congestion and over crowding garden village proposed?				
3.	, ,	e with the pr	roposals for deve	lopment arou	nd Harlow?					
	No opinion Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:							

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4675 Name P Shukla





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Anything rather than more coffee chops, hairdresseds and estate agents.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Agree with focus on out of centre sites such as Langston Road - but not at the cost of retail on Loughton High Road/Debden Broadway etc.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I am concerned about the proposals for station car park and traps hill car park. The loss of the station car park will mean congestion on all residential roads within a radius of 20 minutes walk from the station. Traps hill car park is well used, supporting the popular leisure centre and library - It's an open space that Enhances the open

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4675 Name P Shukla





environment of the area. The loss of Jessel and Rochford Greens would definitely spoil the pleasant garden city feel of these areas.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It's difficult to comment specifically as there was little detail in the plan for this. I couldn't find a reference to the lon term future of Loughton library - which is an excellent facility for the local area - and would be a significant loss.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4675 Name P Shukla





9.	Do ۱	you wish	to comment	on any	other	policies	in the	Draft	Local Plan?
----	------	----------	------------	--------	-------	----------	--------	-------	-------------

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4675

Name P

Shukla