



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4701	Name	john	Porter	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a		s to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ccurately. Should you wish to review eppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest	District?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Strongly dis	sagree	verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest	District?
3.	Strongly dis	agree	roposals for developments	lopment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4701 Name john Porter





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4701

Name john

Porter





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4701 Name john





7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 1- Britain can only feed 40 million people from the available farmland. Extra infrastructure will reduce the available farmland. In the long term the policy is unsuitable. 2 Increased infrastructure will result in degradation of the environment for the present population
- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
 - I believe the terms of reference will make its conclusions worthless. It won't for example, be allowed to examine scenarios that don't fir the council or government plans.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4701 Name john Porter