

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 32 Name Stanley perry

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

North Weald will be too congested and cannot cope with traffic and parking now and it will lose its village appeal. Traffic now is too heavy for the narrow high street. With no underground system the roads will be congested.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We purchased two months ago and thought we were overlooking farmland fields and would never have purchased overlooking properties and living on a building site for some years. Epping and North Weald cannot cope with the extensive traffic that would be forthcoming. We don't have an underground or even a major supermarket.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow has more space and facilities and major shopping areas to accommodate more housing which would benefit the community as a whole.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 32

Name Stanley

perry

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

These areas have single lane roads going through them and cannot cope with traffic now, if developed these areas would cause major road disruption,

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I dont feel qualified to answer this other than re-iterate we cant cope with traffoic now the roads will be gridlocked if any further expansion takes place both residential and industrial especially if lorries and vans deliveries were increased

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

**we do not have the infrastructure to cope with more people and the disruption that they would bring to the area and Epping is congested most of the time now.**

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

**Loughton is gridlocked most of the time and has adequate shopping and housing and industry for the area and any additions would spoil the countryside**

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**North Weald is a village and the main road and surrounding are cannot cope with increased people or traffic and would spoil the countryside**

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

**the area cannot cope with the increase in traffic and congestion**

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**The proposals are theoretical if you live in these areas you will be fully aware that an increase of traffic cannot be coped with without major changes to roads and public transport**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?