



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2179	Name	Stephen	Greenhalgh	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ie full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft I images may not appear accurately. Sho Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc	uld you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre Disagree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	Green belt	and spaces r	needs to be the o	overiding priority		
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	•	ain vour choid	ce in Question 2:			
	_	-	It and spaces			
3.	, ,	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around H	ırlow?	
	No opinion Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2179 Name Stephen Greenhalgh





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Priority should be to protect green spaces						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	This is the wrong priority - priority should be to maintain and increase green spaces						

Stakeholder ID 2179





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

More housing is not the priority - the priority should be to maintain and increase green spaces in Buckhurst Hill

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Greenhalgh

Stakeholder ID 2179 Name Stephen





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Green spaces should be the overiding priority in this area

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2179 Name Stephen Greenhalgh