

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title		Mrs
First Name		Graham
Last Name		Paine
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone		
Number		
E-mail		
Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: SP 4 Development and Delivery of Garden Communities in the Harlow and Gilston Garden Town

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Roydon

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Site suitability assessments were carried out by ARUP on behalf of EFDC. Site reference SR-0068 and SR-0091 with the following comments:- Land to the west of Harlow, between Old House lane, Epping rd, Water lane, Katherines:- Site is within green belt where the level of harm caused by release of the land for development would be high or very high. The site falls within an area of high landscape sensitivity - vulnerable to change and unable to absorb development without significant character change.

Why then have EFDC chosen to ignore the advice of this assessment and that of national policy and current government opinion.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

SP4 needs to be re-assessed.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Graham Paine Date: 19/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender

immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council
Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk