



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3105	Name	Trevor	Nash			
Method	Survey						
Date							
	elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and	ncil's database of re d images may not ap g Policy team: <u>Idfco</u> l	pear accurately.	Should you wish t	
Survey Respo	nse·						

survey kesponse

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I disagree because the plan should be looking into the infrastructure in line with its objectives, schools, transport, doctors surgerys, hospitals.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I think the best option for future development is the east side of the M11 corridor, this in my opinion will give a far greater development space for the future. One big bonus would be the reinstatement of the Central Line beyond Epping Station.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Ant development around Harlow would be on green belt land, I am strongly against this kind of development. We need farming to help feed our growing UK population, so keep green belt green.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3105 Name Trevor Nash

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Large shopping areas bring with it, more traffic hence more pollution, and greater wear on our roads which are already in a poor state of repaire.						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	All future industrial development should be place on the east side of the M11 corridor						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3105 Name Trevor Nash





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Nazeing sits among green belt land, its has very few brown sights left for future development once those sites have been exhausted Nazeing should be left alone within its tranquil green belt. Although one of biggest villages in the UK it is not a town, and should remain a village.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3105 Name Trevor Nash





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is so much infrastructure to be agreed upon, upgrading the sewer system, drainage, flooding etc.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Impact on the environment, such as nature, character of the village and of its landscape, and the destruction of land that produces food.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

As I have mentioned in my responses, firstly develop on brown sites only, let the green belt around Nazeing remain just that. The public transport in relation to the bus service is always on a knife edge. You cannot put development in place unless the development of the infrastructure comes at the same time. No public transport means more car journeys more pollution.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3105 Name Trevor Nash