



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stal	keholder ID	2777	Name	Marjolein	hillman	
Method		Survey				
Dat	е					
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and i	I's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulta mages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to reviously team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree Agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 1:			
	I can see th	e need for ex	tra housing, bu	ıt not at the detrin	nent of the existing community	
2.	Do you agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 2:			
	I do not hav	e sufficient k	nowledge of al	I the sites to form	a proper opinion on this.	
3.	No opinion	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around Ha	·low?	
	•	in vour choice	in Question 3:			
	•	•		udge this plan prop	perly.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2777 Name Marjolein hillman





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
n information for an opinion					
_					

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Growth of the communities will inevitably lead to a demand for employment.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2777 Name Marjolein hillman





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Some of the sites - such as the station car park- propose new houses and 'retained' car park facilities. Car parking spaces are already hard to come by in Epping. There should be additional facilities for car parking with so many extra houses planned. With Epping being the main centre in a rural community without public transport, car parking is essential!

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Again, car parking will be an issue in your plans. Currently the leisure centre car park serves the leisure centre, the expanding Academy and the Ongar Health Centre. Where are you going to find space for all that, if you are going to squash extra houses onto a site like that?Redacted....

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

hillman

Stakeholder ID 2777 Name Marjolein





Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is so general that there is little one can disagree with.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2777 Name Marjolein hillman