



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	21	Name	LORRAINE	WATMOUGH
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I totally object to anymore houses being built on Limes Farm Estate, especially as the piece of land you have earmarked for development is the only piece of green land we have left in Grange Hill. My children us it weekly and my husband and I use it daily for dog walks, not only have the Council given permission over the years for our Public Houses to be developed, you have taken away our Post Office and Mr Knapman promises every election time to reinstate it and never does and now you want to take away our only grassy area. This would be an over development of an already over developed area, the site known as Kelvin Hughes has now become housing, although this is Redbridge, it is still next to Limes Farm. The local area is swapped with cars and traffic and there is constant litter and mess which the Council do not deal with on a regular basis, we have had our fair share of developments in the immediate area and this will be a step too far. I there believe that another site can be found if the Council look.

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The Council are over developing certain areas in favour of others, there needs to be more discussion and I know lots of people that have no idea what you are proposing, it has not been advertised enough.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 21 Name LORRAINE WATMOLIGH





3.	Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?
	No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I cannot comment as I do not know Harlow well enough.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Would need more information





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As I have already stated I am totally against anymore houses being built on Limes Farm not only do we use it as a family, it is also used by the younger children on Limes, we need some green space in the Grange Hill Area, it has already been overdeveloped compared to other parts of Chigwell.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 21 Name LORRAINE WATMOUGH





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

At no time has the infrastructure been improved in the Grange Hill Area, although we have had many developments to the point in my opinion of over development of the area.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 21 Name LORRAINE WATMOUGH