



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2444	Name	Martin	Field	
Me	thod	Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting an		o the Draft Local Plan Consultation rately. Should you wish to review <u>pingforestdc.gov.uk</u>
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?
	Please expla	nin your choic	e in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agree		erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest Dis	trict?
	You are pro	•		eas that are una	ole to support additional	vehicles, school places and
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	pposals for deve	lopment around I	arlow?	
	•	,	e in Question 3: sion as Harlow v	was (is) a new to	vn development.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No Buckhurst Hill? No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Roads, parking, transport links and schools do not support additional communities.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)