

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID    2151                      Name                      Anna                      Stamper

Method                      Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

looking at the areas designated for housing development, all that is being suggested is to shoehorn houses in to overly pressurised areas, nibble at the green belt on the edge of towns and steal the green spaces within built up areas. Green spaces that were designed in the original planning to give space and relief to the people living in these areas . If you remove the green spaces within built up areas you will not resolve the housing needs in the long term, and will make these urban areas less clean, calm and pleasant to live in, once green spaces go they never return . This policy also goes against your statement of conservation and valuing of green spaces. I can not see an overall large plan for housing development and it seems to me that it would be better to use Noth Weald to make a new garden city, which could provide all the current housing requirements and if properly planned, more housing for the future rather than making it a playground for the wealthy with planes, and a token few affordable houses. There is no real consideration for the increasing of bus services which are badly needed as the central line is all most at capacity and will not support the growing demand . Also if a larger number of bus services surpporting the countryside towards Harlow and into london are put in place it will make it easier for affordable housing to be put in places where only expensive luxury homes are currently suggested.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID    2151                      Name    Anna                      Stamper

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**no time to explain befor dead line to send in form**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**not enough explanation, more buses needed**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)