



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1489	Name	Marion	Honeyball		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the Draft I images may not appear accurately. Sho Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc	uld you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respor	nse:					
1.	, ,		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1: Flooding Over crowding on already busy roads Use of green space Health reasons						
		er crowaing	on aiready busy	roads use or gr	en space Health reasons		
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	nin your choi	ce in Question 2:				
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around l	arlow?		
	Disagree						
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1489 Name Marion Honeyball





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1489

Name Marion

Honeyball





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1489

Name Marion

Honeyball





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1489

Name Marion

Honeyball