



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4706	Name	R S	Wannell	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting a		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation curately. Should you wish to review ppingforestdc.gov.uk
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest D	District?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 1:			
	The Plan fa	ils to protect	the Green Belt			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest D	District?
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 2:			
					on Bois and is not in line wing infrastructures.	vith Government thinking. New
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	l Harlow?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choic	ce in Question 3:			
	Providing th	nere is no en	croachment into	o Green Belt ar	nd our historic forest.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4706 Name R S Wannell





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in																			
	Epping? No Buckhurst Hill? No Loughton Broadway?																			
								Yes Chipping Ongar? No												
														Loughton High Road?						
														Yes						
								Waltham Abbey? No Please explain your choice in Question 4:												
	Loughton OK as long as existing facilities are maintained and improved.																			
	5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?																		
		Strongly disagree																		
		Please explain your choice in Question 5:																		
		I do not agree with any development on Green Belt sites which will also undermine local transport and local employment.																		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4706





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

I have paid a premium to live in a beautiful area and do not want to see it destroyed. Also several sites are in the green belt and will ruin the character of the village. 360 houses is a totally disproportionate allocation. The Council should not give in to developers who are solely interested in making money. It is the council's duty to protect this area for future generations. The policy is too vague relating to infrastructure. There are already huge issues with parking. Doctor's services, public transport and services generally.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4706 Name R S Wannell





7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

As already stated the arrangements for infrastructure are unclear.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There are no clear answers to the questions of overcrowding and congestion in the area of the station and village of Theydon Bois generally. The massive increase in the village population will result in overcrowding and congestion on our roads - already at dangerous levels - a threat to our 'best Essex village' status.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4706 Name R S Wannell