



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1620	Name	Martin	Lawford	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the Dr I images may not appear accurately. I Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingfore	Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agree		erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:			
		ing of its resi			art of the design of the Debden nd thought. We have little enou	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	oposals for deve	lopment around l	arlow?	
	-	nin your choid	e in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
No Chipping Ongar? No opinion						
						Loughton High Road?
						No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?					
No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Development of the Langston Rd site will not only have a detrimental effect on small businesses in The Broadway, but it will also add more congestion to traffic, which is already dire at peak times.					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?  No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Please refer to my answer to the question about using green spaces. Also I object to the planned use of current car parks. Replacing them with multi-storey car parks or blocks of flats with underground parking will negatively alter the character of the town.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)