



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	2943	Name	Geoffrey	Manton	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting and	I's database of responses to the Draft Loca mages may not appear accurately. Should y colicy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov	you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	, ,		verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	•	ce in Question 1:			
	•	•	anning office.			
2.	Do you agre		verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
		•	ce in Question 2:			
	There shou	ld be no bui	lding on green be	elt		
3.	Do you agre	e with the n	ronosals for deve	lopment around Ha	·low?	
	Strongly dis	•	oposais for devel	iopinem around ne	TOW.	
	0.5	·	ce in Question 3:			
	There is no	t enough roa	ads and parking f	for these Homes		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2943 Name Geoffrey Manton





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in.	4.	Do you agree with	the proposed	shopping	area in
---	----	-------------------	--------------	----------	---------

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The planning people have let pubs be knocked down and too many houses built in there place. No jobs in Houses.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2943

Name Geoffrey

Manton





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

There is not enough infrastructure to support more Houses. There are problems with traffic congestion now.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2943

Name Geoffrey

Manton





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Infrastructure first, then Houses.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Who done this?

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SR-0149

No building on green belt.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2943 Name Geoffrey

Manton