

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2045	Name	Phill	Browne
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This is not a good way forward, it only talks about expansion of an area that is not coping well with traffic, schooling, doctors, shops etc No infrastructure will create a disaster for the area and could change completely.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As I have always stated Green Belt land was created for a reason to protect the environment and for those residing in it. There are enough brown field landsights that should be used. Maybe Harlow could take expansion there is a good infra structure there.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

## Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

If this is allocated as a Green Belt site then alternatives must be found. Currently the proposal is not conducive to the stated aims.

Browne

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

#### Stakeholder ID 2045

Name Phill





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
  No opinion
  Buckhurst Hill?
  No opinion
  Loughton Broadway?
  No opinion
  Chipping Ongar?
  No opinion
  Loughton High Road?
  No opinion
  Waltham Abbey?
  No opinion
  Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Sites in Hoe Lane are relying on using very narrow roads for access. Too many houses proposed, they will be detrimental to the infrastructure. Green Belt should remain green

# Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Browne





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Green Belt is a precedent. Traffic is already bad in Nazeing it will only get much worse. Effect the beauty and tranquility of the area. Pressure on local services and infrastructure as a whole. This is an area that is frequently flooded due to poor drainage. Traffic is very bad particularly at peak periods and there are more

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2045

Browne





than enough HGV vehicles using these narrow roads. There are a large number of cyclist during the Spring & Summer there safety will be left unchecked.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Major problems in infrastructure. Superficial promises are made that are of no real extra benefit to the community. Flooding is common and no implementation proposed. This large proposal will be detrimental to the environment Local peoples everyday lives will be disrupted and an eyesore thereafter for ever more.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Why is there a need to build on Green Belt? Use other derelict land How many times do we have to appeal for sense to preside. This will be an eyesore for eternity and a precedent to all green belt.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Any increase of traffic is not good for any community. Why are you not visiting residents for their opinions. I am certain that many will not have the time and some not the ability to use this manner of response. Enough is enough. I have lived here four years and moved here for all the right reasons. Peace beauty and happiness. Lets keep it that way.

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2045 Name Phill Browne