

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2463 Name Emerantia Claassen

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Strongly disagree because of the lost of green spaces such as Jessel Green and Rochford Green for example. Plan does not provide infrastructure changes only propose new housing.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The council is proposing to develop new houses in areas where we are already living to maximum capacity. Infrastructure such as roads and public transport can not handle more users. Parking will be a problem and by loosing our greens to housing the general and mental wellbeing of the local residents will be affected. Furthermore in the current building climate we can expect flats or very small houses to be build. The quality of life for people living in these new builds are questionable and will result in more health problems.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow has good transport links going into London, a big hospital and adequate shopping facilities as well. It seems as if there is more space for development too.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2463

Name Emerantia

Claassen

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I can not comment on areas where I do not live and use the shopping areas but I can comment on Loughton. I would like to see more short term free parking and more reasonable business rates. Our local high streets are dying because councils are forcing out parking and setting unrealistic business rates.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I can not see how the council will implement this.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The lost of Greens such as Jessel Graan and Rochford Green is unacceptable. Numerous studies have shown that green areas are vital to health and mental well being for residents. Building on the Greens will change the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

character of our living areas tremendously. The plans shows X amount of house to be built but with that amount of new housing, where is the schools, the improved infrastructure, more parking etc?

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Not sufficient.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Email with our views have been sent to ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?