

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2074	Name	Barry	Hornett
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Epping Forest District already has good access to Central and Greater London for jobs and housing. There is no need to sacrifice open space, playing fields and green belt, especially in Coopersale.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Coopersale has one grassed public field (Cricket field) and one Primary School Playing Field used for school football and sport.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Already seems well served.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... 4. Epping? Yes **Buckhurst Hill?** No Loughton Broadway? No Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: Epping has wasted shopping facilities that are under used.
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5: Not in rural locations and green belt.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Hornett





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Not necessary. Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Not necessary. Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) Yes Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2074
----------------	------

Name Barry

Hornett

3





Limited development.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Not necessary in some areas.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Hornett