



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	akeholder ID	2892	Name	Jonathan	MacNeaney	Coopersale and Theydon Garnon School		
Method		Survey						
Da	te							
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and	images may not appe	onses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ar accurately. Should you wish to review <a href="mailto:ult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>		
Su	rvey Respo	nse:						
1.								
	No opinion							
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:							
	This is a gro	oup response	to a specific iss	sue.				
2.	Do you agre	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping For	est District?		
	Please expla	ain your choice	e in Question 2:					
	This is a gro	oup response	to a specific iss	sue.				
2	Do you agro	o with the pro	unosals for dovo	lopment around Ha	rlow?			
3.	No opinion	e with the pro	iposais foi deve	портнент агоина на	II IOW?			
		ain vour choice	e in Question 3:					
	•	•	to a specific iss					
	iiis is a gi	Jap i caponae	to a specific is:	Juo.				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2892 Name Jonathan MacNeaney





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

This is a group response to a specific issue.

Stakeholder ID 2892

Name Jonathan

MacNeaney





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2892

Name Jonathan

MacNeaney





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

We are writing to lodge our concern about the inclusion of the Coopersale and Theydon Garnon Primary School playing fields in the local plan and to object to the possible loss of this resource. You can see a detailed map showing site boundaries on page 28 of http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wpcontent/uploads/sites/5/2016/08/Appendix-B1.4.2-Extract-for-Epping-p67-111-of-full-Appendix.pdf The playing fields are of vital importance to the health and education of the children attending Coopersale and Theydon Garnon Primary School. This is the only suitable "soft PE" space available to children at the school and is used regularly for PE, recreation and afterschool clubs. Removing the space would prevent the school from providing "soft" space in accordance with Area guidelines for mainstream schools: BB103 (April 2014, updated 2015). Additionally the boundaries marked in the aforementioned map encroach on the paved playground, play equipment and an entire classroom. We hope this is a cartographical oversight as it would be extraordinary to consider removing classroom space whilst increasing housing stock. We are further concerned that the Coopersale and Theydon Garnon Primary School governors did not receive written notice that the local plan included the possibility of the sale of the school fields. We repeat our objection to the sale and development of the Coopersale and Theydon Garnon Primary School playing fields. Yours faithfully Jonathan MacNeaney Kathleen Beaumont Sue Petchy Terena Moreton Clive Tarling Nicola Cooney (Signatures attached electronically) http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity\_forms/3fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/CandTG-signatures.jpg

7.	Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
	No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

This is a group response for a specific issue

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2892 Name Jonathan MacNeaney