

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 27 Name Jacqueline Boudier

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Greenbelt sites should not be considered for building homes when there are brownfield sites available

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

there are some villages in the draft where there is no planned development yet Nazeing is potentially losing green belt to accommodate additional housing developments which the infrastructure cannot support.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Nazeing has open space which is one of the main reasons the residents purchased their properties in the village. The additional housing plans will reduce the greenbelt and once this has started where will it stop ?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 27

Name Jacqueline

Boudier

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Epping has shops and a modern shopping area will ruin the character of the town.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

As long as this doesn't result in huge ugly warehouses being built - again provisions for traffic and bus services need to be considered. Nazeing currently only has an hourly bus service to Broxbourne which also doesn't run on a Sunday.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

These are green belt areas so shouldn't be built on especially when there are brownfield sites available. The draft does not show road names so the proposed sites are difficult to place - for residents to fully to

understand the draft plan these map should be re-released with street names so its clear to everyone that these are green belt sites !

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

SR -0300b and SR-0300a

Please forward precise details on the above two proposed sites as it is not clear how many houses are being proposed sites.
