



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	4762	Name	С	Whatson	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting	ouncil's database of responses to t and images may not appear accura ning Policy team: Idfconsult@eppin	tely. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	sets out for Epping Forest Distr	ict?
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment aroun	d Harlow?	
	Agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4762 Name C Whatson





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	Chipping Ongar?
	Loughton High Road?
	Waltham Abbey?
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4762

Name C

Whatson





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I totally disagree with building on car parks in Buckhurst Hill and Loughton. There are insufficient parking spaces now so we cannot afford to lose any more. I also disagree with proposals to change the area in Lower Queens Road below the line. The services there are vital to the elderly people living nearby.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4762 Name C Whatson





	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Whatson

Stakeholder ID 4762 Name C