



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3185	Name	Susan	BYLES	
Method	Survey				
Date					
	elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Locald images may not appear accurately. Should go Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov	you wish to review
Survey Respo	nse:				
Strongly dis	sagree			ts out for Epping Forest District?	
Green belt used - ie Br clear expla content/up	sites chosen ownfield. The nation of the	nis is a decision to e change of directs f/gravity_forms/	rict in particular which is contrar ction. http://epp	over land of lesser environmental value to Government guidelines and recent ingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-e780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/EFDC	EFDC without
Strongly dis	sagree ain your choi	verall vision that ce in Question 2: I letter attached		ts out for Epping Forest District?	
No opinion Please expl	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:		Harlow? n on Harlow's needs	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	please see uploaded letter of objection
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	I do not have expertise in this area

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Please see the uploaded letter of objection

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/EFDC-Draft-Local-Plan-objection-letter_Dec161.docx

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
No opinion
Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I do not have expertise in this area

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)