Name: Mrs Bridget Uncle, owner of allocated sites SHR.R1 and SHR.R3 in the village of Sheering.

Part B – Your representation on the Main Modifications and/or supporting documents

If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate Part B form for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.

---

4. Which **Main Modification number and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to? (Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED).

Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

MM no. MM203 Supporting document reference

5. Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:
(Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)

a) Is Legally compliant Yes X No

b) Sound Yes X No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail

Positively prepared Effective

Justified Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We note the change in status of Appendix 6 from supporting text to policy and welcome this clarity.

We support the continued allocation of site SHR.R3 and welcome the changes to the text regarding heritage and the Public Right of Way. These add clarity and consistency and remove unnecessary detail, which will aid applicants, and decision is makers accordance with NPPF 2012 paragraph 154.
.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

N/A

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to an MM or supporting document?

[ ] Yes [X] No

Signature: [redacted] Date 23-09-21